

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,290	11/24/2003	Soonkap Hahn	81633/6776	9067
	7590 03/14/2007 TABIN AND FLANNER	EXAMINER		
120 SOUTH LA	A SALLE STREET	CROW, ROBERT THOMAS		
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Reexamination HAHN ET AL.	
	10/722,290		
		Art Unit	
	Ram R. Shukla	1634	
Document Code - AP.PRE	.DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	riew filed <u>1/29/07</u> .
1. The Request – The Request is improper ar reason(s):	nd a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Proposed of the concurrence of the concur	view is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run frequency that the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interned held. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whiche appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based u of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ission, or the balance of the two-month time period ver is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
The panel has determined the status of the classical Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits renapplicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been haction will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
(1) Ram R. Shukla. RAM R. SHUKLA, PH.D. (2) Jean Witz SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	(3)Robert Crow.
(2) Jean Witz SUPERVISORY FAILS	(4)

the